### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

REC'D	0	î	MAR	2006
WIPO				PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P04001	FOR FURTHER ACT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416							
International application No. International filing of PCT/NO2005/000017 13.01.2005		y	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.01.2004						
International Patent Classification (IPC) C01B33/187	or national classification and IPC								
Applicant									
COD TECHNOLOGIES A.S. et al.									
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36: 30.000									
2. This REPORT consists of a to	otal of 4 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.	CAST SELECTION SELECTION						
3. This report is also accompani	ed by ANNEXES, comprising								
a. D sent to the applicant a	nd to the International Bureau	u) a total of sheets, as	follows:						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the									
Administrative Instructions).  sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the									
Supplemental Box	(,	ticate type and number	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a						
and and bearing an	r tables related thereto, in co	mbuter readable form o	niv, as indicated in the Supplemental						
Box Relating to Seque	ence Listing (see Section 802	of the Administrative In	structions).						
4. This report contains indicatio	ns relating to the following ite	ms:							
☐ Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion .								
☐ Box No. II Priority	·								
☐ Box No. III Non-establ	ishment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability						
	ty of invention								
☐ Box No. V Reasoned applicability	and the property of the second terror of the second								
	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited								
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application									
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	report						
Date of Submission of the Content									
12.07.2005		28.02.2006							
Name and mailing address of the inter	national	Authorized Officer							
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office			Ar Mi						
D-80208 Munich		Grundke, H							
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx Fax: +49 89 2399 - 446	, 523636 <del>a</del> pinia a 5	Telephone No. +49 89 23	399-8564						
•		1							

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

\*\*1

International application No. PCT/NO2005/000017

		Box No. 1	Basis of the report						
	1.	. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
		☐ This repo	ort is based on trans the language of a t	slations from the ranslation furnish	original language i	into the following lands	guage ,		
		☐ intern	national search (und cation of the internal national preliminary	ier Rules 12.3 an tional application	d 23.1(b)) (under Rule 12.4)				
i en	2.	have been fu	o the <b>elements*</b> of rmished to the rece ginally filed" and ar	ivina Office in res	sponse to an invita	port is based on <i>(re<sub>l</sub>tion under Article 14</i>	olacement sheets which are referred to in this		
		Description, F							
in the fill		1-15	*		The said the said section of the said section is a section of the said section of the said section is a section of the said se				
11.50		Claims, Numb	ners						
	•		,				A		
		· , — • ·				*			
		Drawings, Sh	eets		·				
		1/3-3/3	٠.	as originally filed		•			
		□ a seque	nce listing and/or a	ny related table(s	) - see Supplemer	ntal Box Relating to S	Sequence Listing		
	3		endments have res	ulted in the cance	ellation of:				
			lescription, pages laims, Nos.						
		☐ the d	lrawings, sheets/fig:						
		□ the s □ any t	equence listing <i>(sp</i> able(s) related to s	equence listing (	specify):				
	4	had not beer	n made, since they	have been consi	e of) the amendme	ents annexed to this d the disclosure as f	report and listed below iled, as indicated in the		
		• •	al Box (Rule 70.2(c lescription, pages	))·					
		☐ the c	laims, Nos.						
		☐ the s	drawings, sheets/fig sequence listing <i>(sp</i>	ecify):					
		•	table(s) related to s						
		* If ite	m 4 applies, s	ome or all o	f these sheets	may be marked	"superseded."		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NO2005/000017

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No:

Claims

19-26

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-26

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000017

Item V:

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: US-A-5780005 D2: US 2003/0066459

The claims, in particular claim 1, but also other claims, e.g. 2, 4, 8 are not clear in their precise meaning due to the extremely frequent use of the words "preferably", "optionally"; further unclarities arise due to the unclear wording "or other suitable aluminate(s) (e.g. claims 2, 14), "may be", "might" (claim 17), "according to product requirements" (claim 1), "until sufficient amount is obtained" (claim 7), "until suitably pure" (claim 7); claims 25 and 26 do not refer to any preceding claim at all. In line 22 of claim 1 the meaning of "dispersion of silica slurry" is completely obscure (a slurry is already dispersed); in line 8 of claim 1, if "preferably mixing..." does not occur, it is unclear what happens then: In this situation it is completely vague which process steps are essential and under which precise conditions, and which are only optional or preferable. Therefore a detailed comparison with the art at present is not meaningful. As far as understandable, the documents D1 and D2 cited appear to be relevant for the process, and the product and applications. It is unclear in which precise product parameters the claimed product in claims 19-23 departs over known SiO2 products, in particular those made from olivine. It is assumed that also in the known processes of D1 or D2 the object mentioned at page 3, II. 9-13 of the application is solved. If not, the applicant will have to make it clear due to which precise difference in the process, which problem is solved which has not already ex- or implicitly been solved in the art. Moreover, only if the product claimed convincingly could be shown to depart over known SiO2, then special applications could be claimed, since in principle the mentioned applications (uses) in claims 24-26 are standard uses for SiO2.